





En Route Performance In The National Airspace System

Mark Hansen, Yulin Liu

University of California, Berkeley

Cara Chuang, David Lovell, Michael Ball

University of Maryland, College Park

Jan 11st, 2017



Outline



• Introduction

- Data Sources and Preliminary Statistical Analysis
- Macroscopic Variation in Flight Inefficiency
- Impact of Route Selection on Flight Inefficiency
- Conclusions



Background



- FAA and Eurocontrol published metrics to evaluate flight en route inefficiency, and understanding the mechanism behind the inefficiency is of great importance;
- For flight delay we have:
- What about en route inefficiency?



Weather: 58.19%
Volume: 33.69%
Equipment: 0.2%
Closed Runway: 4.84%
Other: 3.08%





- A: Actual flown distance from exit point to entry point;
- D: Great circle distance between local entry and exit point;
- *H*: Achieved distance (related to great circle distances from exit/entry points to arcs surrounding arrival/departure airports).

Sources:

https://www.faa.gov/air traffic/publications/media/us eu comparison 2013.pdf https://www.eurocontrol.int/sites/default/files/content/documents/single-sky/pru/news-related/2013-05-08-slides-workshopachieved-distance.pdf



Project Goals



- Support FAA in developing en route inefficiency performance metrics
- For selected metrics, identify reasons for inefficiency
 - NAS route structure
 - Convective weather
 - Traffic management initiatives (TMIs)
 - Winds
- Eventually allow comparison with other ANSPs such as Eurocontrol



Outline



- Introduction
- Data Sources and Preliminary Statistical Analysis
- Macroscopic Variation in Flight Inefficiency
- Impact of Route Selection on Flight Inefficiency
- Conclusions



Data Sources



- Flight Event Data
 - From FAA Enhanced Traffic Management System (ETMS)
 - Flight level performance records from 2013 to 2014
 - We only focus on the traffic among the U.S. core 34 airports
- Flight Track Data
 - From FAA Traffic Flow Management System (TFMS)
 - Currently we focus on eight pairs in 2013:

IAH \leftrightarrow BOS, ORD \leftrightarrow DCA, JFK \leftrightarrow LAX and FLL \leftrightarrow JFK



Summary Statistics



- Flight Event Data
 - Record the flight level distance measures, including filed distance, flown distance and achieved (benchmark) distance
 - Around 3 million flights per year in/out of core 34 airports, accounting for about 50% of total flights in/out of the US;
- Flight Track Data
 - Radar track points:

Latitude, Longitude, Altitude, Time, Ground speed







En Route Inefficiency vs Great Circle Distance

En Route Inefficiency





Gap Between Actual and Flight Plan Distance



HIVERSITL





ATL to ORD (6.86%)









Outline



- Introduction
- Data Sources and Preliminary Statistical Analysis
- Macroscopic Variation in Flight Inefficiency
- Impact of Route Selection on Flight Inefficiency
- Conclusions



Patterns of Variation in Flight En Route Inefficiency

- Quantify how departure/ arrival airports, seasons and flight length affect flights' en route inefficiencies;
- We use linear regression to build two *fixed effect models* to estimate those effects;
- The first model investigates the independent effects of terminals, month, and flight length, while the second model takes a closer look at the monthly variations within each departure/ arrival airport.



Model Specification



5

 Model I: Include airports, months and flight length categories as explanatory variables, and monthly variation is airport independent. (6M observations, 82 Variables)

$$Ineffiency = \sum_{dep} \beta_{dep} \cdot X_{dep} + \sum_{arr} \beta_{arr} \cdot X_{arr} + \sum_{mon} \beta_{mon} \cdot \frac{X_{mon}}{X_{mon}} + \sum_{i} \beta_{i} \cdot Dist_{i}$$

 Model II: Include (Airport-Month) tuple and flight length categories as explanatory variables, which allows monthly variation to be airport specific. (6M observations, 808 Variables)

$$Ineffiency = \sum_{dep,mon} \beta_1 \cdot \mathbf{X}_{dep-mon} + \sum_{arr,mon} \beta_2 \cdot \mathbf{X}_{arr-mon} + \sum_i^5 \beta_i \cdot Dist_i$$

 $-Dist_1: 0 - 200 NM; Dist_2: 200 - 400 NM; Dist_3: 400 - 600 NM;$

 $-Dist_4:600 - 800 NM; Dist_5:800 - 1000 NM; Dist_6: > 1000 NM$





Model I - Estimation



15





Model II – Monthly Variation





16



Outline



- Introduction
- Data Sources and Preliminary Statistical Analysis
- Macroscopic Variation in Flight Inefficiency
- Impact of Route Selection on Flight Inefficiency
- Conclusions





Why Route Selection Matters?

- Macroscopic models well explain the variation of en route performance, but have relatively low R squared;
- Trajectories (red curves) show obvious clustering in the airspace;
- Different clusters appear to have different en route performance.

IAH \rightarrow BOS (2013)







Finding Nominal Routes

- We define *Nominal Routes* as the set of representative trajectories for a given OD pair;
- Nominal routes help us understand the NAS route structures, and further en route performance;
- Trajectory clustering algorithm helps us achieve such goal.



Clustering Algorithms



- <u>Step 0: Trajectory Cleaning</u>
 - Exclude both spatial and temporal discontinuity trajectories;
 - Exclude trajectories starting/ending outside terminal areas.
- Step 1: Trajectory resampling
 - Get trajectories with equal numbers of points;
 - Linear Interpolation (with respect to distance flown);
 - Each trajectory is represented by 100 points.

- <u>Step 2: Principal Component</u> <u>Analysis (PCA)</u>
 - Dimension reduction & Trajectory smoothing;
 - First five components can capture more than 90% of variations.
- <u>Step 3: Clustering</u>
 - Trajectory classifications;
 - DBSCAN algorithm is applied to the PCA components to get representative clusters;
 - solve a 1-median problem to determine nominal route for each cluster



Resampling Example

- Linear interpolation between the start and end tracking location for each route
- 100 pseudo points are predicted locations at:
 - Initial location (d0)
 - d0 + trajectory distance/99 (d1)
 - d1 + trajectory distance/99 (d2)
 - ...
 - Final trajectory location (d100)









Dimension Reduction



- Reduce the dimension of trajectories save computational time
- Improve the quality of clustering Principal Component Analysis (PCA) can help to filter off noise and smooth the data
- Using PCA, we found that the first five components can capture almost all the variation e.g.
 - 99% for IAH \rightarrow BOS
 - 96% for FLL \rightarrow JFK
 - 94% for ORD \rightarrow DCA



Example of Dimension Reduction (IAH \rightarrow BOS)







Trajectory Clustering

- Use trajectory PCA components to find sets of trajectories that are similar to each other;
- Apply DBSCAN algorithm because it
 - Does not need to pre-determine number of clusters
 - Allows trajectories to be identified as outliers
 - Can limit variation within each cluster



IAH \rightarrow BOS (1679 of original 1817)



DBSCAN applied to PCA mode matrix



Black curves are classified as outliers White Solid curves are Nominal Routes White Dashed curve is great circle trajectory







JFK \rightarrow FLL (4043 of original 4273)

DBSCAN applied to PCA mode matrix



Black curves are classified as outliers White Solid curves are Nominal Routes White Dashed curve is great circle trajectory







Impact of Route Selection

- Build route-specific fixed effect models to capture variations in en route inefficiencies among representative clusters;
- Model specification
 - Separate models for each airport pair
 - $-Inefficiency(\%) = \beta_0 + \beta'_1 \cdot X_{month} + \beta'_2 \cdot X_{ClusterID};$
 - $-X_{month}$ and $X_{ClusterID}$ are categorical variables;
 - Cluster ID can be found on previous slides.



Estimation Results



- Al the cluster fixed effects are compared with the outlier groups;
- While most of them are significant and with plausible sign, the explanatory power greatly enhanced.

	IAH_BOS	BOS_IAH	JKF_FLL	FLL_JFK	ORD_DCA	DCA_ORD
Cluster ID – r	-4.328***	-4.831***	-8.108***	-18.470***	-24.538***	-22.026***
Cluster ID – g	0.525***	-2.463***	1.558***	-12.457***	-7.521***	-21.908***
Cluster ID – m	-3.697***	-5.801***	-1.970***	-12.956***	-15.434***	-11.593***
Cluster ID – c	-4.292***	-7.017***	2.240***	-	-19.705***	-13.695***
Cluster ID - b	-0.409	-5.498***	5.058***	-	-	26.114***
R squared	0.6463	0.6147	0.7523	0.5167	0.6083	0.5076

Notes:

*** p < 0.01; ** p < 0.05; * p<0.1



Analysis of Variance





- Route Selection explains much of the variation (~60%) in en route inefficiency;
- Identified clusters are helpful in understanding causal reasons for flight en route inefficiency



Outline



- Introduction
- Data Sources and Preliminary Statistical Analysis
- Macroscopic Variation in Flight Inefficiency
- Impact of Route Selection on Flight Inefficiency
- Conclusions



Conclusions



- Flight en route inefficiency is on average 3.4%, but varies significantly with airport pairs and seasons;
- Long-haul flights tend to be more efficient than short-haul flights;
- For most airport pairs, individual flight trajectories, while unique, can be divided into natural clusters whose members are very similar to one another;
- "Outlier" trajectories not belonging to a cluster account for from 1-15% of the total, depending on the airport pair;



Conclusions (cont'd)



- Cluster membership accounts for about 60% of overall variation in inefficiency;
- Flights in summer seasons (May to August) are in general more inefficient than the others, but seasonal variation accounts for only 2-6% of the variation;



Ongoing Work



Other Causal Factors

- Convective weather
- Wind
- Miles-in-trail (MIT)

Wind Field Map





Ongoing Work



Other Causal Factors

- Wind
- Convective weather
- Miles-in-trail (MIT)

Example of MIT









Thanks! Q&A

liuyulin101@berkeley.edu





Backup Slides





Method – on "Achieved distance"



•
$$H = \frac{H_1 + H_2}{2}$$

 Indicate how much closer is the *Entry point* to destination and how much further is the *Exit point* away from origin.





Composite Weather Exposure

Thunderstorm Exposure



Rain Exposure





BOS \rightarrow IAH (1742 of original 1883)

DBSCAN applied to PCA mode matrix



Black lines are classified as outliers White Solid Lines are centers of each clusters White Dashed line is great circle trajectory



FLL \rightarrow JFK (4011 of original 4267)



DBSCAN applied to PCA mode matrix



Enroute Inefficiency for different clusters 0.6 2.54% 8.61% 8.10% 21.12% 0.5 0.4 En Route Inefficiency 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 k|1.869% r | 86.48% g | 10.72% m | 0.922% Cluster color | Proportion



ORD → DCA (7349 of original 7574)



DBSCAN applied to PCA mode matrix







DCA \rightarrow ORD (7383 of original 7557)









JFK \rightarrow LAX (10725 of original 11586)











LAX \rightarrow JFK (10447 of original 11543)



