# Impact of Very Light Jet (VLJ) Flights on Airport Terminal Area

National Airsapce System Performance Workshop March 14-17, 2006

Jeff Viken Stuart Cooke NASA Langley Research Center Antonio Trani Hojong Baik Virginia Tech University Sam Dollyhigh John Callery Jeremy Smith Swales Aerospace

### Outline

#### • Future Flights (Demand)

- Airline Flights
- VLJ Flights
- Legacy GA Flights

#### • Airside Facilities (Supply)

- Runway
- Terminal Area
- En-route

#### • Impact on the Terminal Area

- Any Congestion/Delays?
- Any Environmental Issues?
- Suggestions

# What is Very Light Jet (VLJ)?

# Very Lights Jets (VLJ)

- General purpose category of jet-powered aircraft weighting less than 10,000 lbs
- Aircraft in flight testing phase
  - Eclipse Aviation 500 (April 2006)
  - Cessna Mustang (April 2006)
  - Adam 700 (End of 2006)
  - Grob SP (Unknown)
- Aircraft in the design stage
  - Embraer Phenom 100 (2008)
  - Spectrum 33 (2008)
  - Diamond Jet (unknown)



Eclipse 500



#### **Cessna Mustang**

# **VLJ Engine Manufacturers**

- Pratt and Whitney Canada
  - PW 610 (Eclipse 500)
  - PW 615 (Cessna Mustang)
  - PW 617 (Embraer Phenom 100)
- Williams International
  - FJ44 (Adam 700)
  - FJ33 (Spectrum 33)



Williams FJ44 (A.A. Trani 2005)

# **Typical Very Light Jet Vehicle**

- Pressurized aircraft
- All weather vehicle
- Four revenue seats



- 365 mph cruise speed
- Certified to fly into known icing conditions
- 1,100 nm range (maximum). 700 nm practical with 2 passengers
- Cost per passenger-mile (\$1.75 nominal based on life-cycle cost analysis)
- 1.2 million dollars (cost)
- 3,415 public airports (> 3,000 ft. paved runways)
- Low Landing Minima capability provided to all airports using SATS LLM hardware (WAAS-aided)
- Airport Design Group = A-I
- Wake Vortex Classification = Small

# **Future Flights\* Estimation**

\*Flights = Airline Flights + VLJ flights + Legacy GA Flights

# Transportation Systems Analysis Model (TSAM)



### **Transportation Systems Analysis Model (TSAM)**



# **Trip Generation**

#### **Number of Trips**





### Total Intercity Trips Generated by County (Business + Non-Business Trips)







# Consider a Business Trip from Blacksburg, VA to Cleveland,OH

- Suppose three possible travel alternatives are:
  - Auto
  - Commercial Air
  - On-demand service using VLJ aircraft (future NAS)
- To make a mode selection a user might consider:
  - Travel time
  - Travel cost (including lodging and rentals)
  - Duration of stay
  - Value of time
  - Party size

### **Multi-route Mode Choice Model**



# Multi-mode Choice Model (Door-to-Door Commercial Air Travel Time)



# Multi-mode Choice Model (Auto)



# Multi-mode Choice Model (VLJ)



# **Summary Trip Information**

From Blacksburg, VA To Cleveland, OH (391 miles)											
Roundtrip Travel Time Savings Using 7 hrs 2 min + 2 extra nights compared to automobile											
7 hrs 16 min + 1 extra night compared to fastest airline route											
SATS Trip Details											
	Origin Airport	Destina	ation Airport	Travel Time Travel Til (Outbound) (Return	ne Travel Cost A ) (Roundtrip)	Average Travel Speed	Cost for Speed	Nights Away			
SATS	BCB, Virginia Tech / Montgo Executive, Blacksburg, V	mery BKL, Burke La A	akefront, Cleveland, OH	2 hrs 59 min 2 hrs 59 r	nin \$1,093	131 mph	\$8.33/mph	0			
Car Trip Details											
	Origin		stination	Travel Time Travel Til (Outbound) (Return	ne Travel Cost A	Average Travel Speed	Cost for Speed	Nights			
Auto	Blacksburg, VA Cleveland, OH		eland, OH	hrs 30 min 5 hrs 30 min \$493 60 mph \$5.20/mph		2					
		<\$30K	<\$60K	<\$100K	<\$150K	∑   <b>&gt;\$1</b>	50K	Nights			
Route 1						-					
Route 2 Route 3	Auto	82%	76%	65%	52%	51	%	1 1 1			
Route 2 Route 3	Auto Airline	82% 18%	76% 24%	65% 30%	52% 32%	51 31	%				
Houte 2 Route 3	Auto Airline VLJ	82% 18% <b>0%</b>	76% 24% <b>0%</b>	65% 30% <b>5%</b>	52% 32% 16%	51 31 18	% % %				

### Intercity Travelers by Mode (from LA County)

### **Business Trip**

Auto     Image: state stat	2015 Case 2a No NGATS	A turtion of the same of the s	(2.1%) Ness Trip
Auto       Image: state sta	2015 Case 4 NGATS	Existing commercial airport set (443 nationwide) Airline Fare Scale Factor = 0.720 VLJ Auto cost = \$0.37 vehicle-mile Processing Times Scaling Factor = 0.75	0.1%)

(Captured from Virginia Tech Transportation System Analysis Model (TSAM))

#### Mode Choice Window in TSAM

Airport Processing Times



# Travel Time Saving (Case 2a minus Case 4)









# <u>TSAM is ...</u>

- A strategic planning tool to estimate the intercity transportation demand that
  - Employs socio-economics and demographics of the country,
  - County-to-county spatial model (complements NSS),
  - Multi-modal in scope (auto, airline, GA and VLJ),
  - Includes domestic and international trips
  - Accepts any *user-defined scenarios*: airport sets, fare, processing time, new technologies, etc.
  - Runs in a standard Windows XP system, and
  - Use of *GIS technology* to present results (70+ maps)
- The current TSAM is an unconstrained model.
  - It assumes that there is no capacity constraints in runway, terminal area and en route.
- We need "credible capacity-delay analysis" to obtain the steady-state solution.

Impact of VLJ Flights in the Airport Terminal Area

### Question 1:

47N

Can VLJ/GA operations at TEB, FRG, and HPN grow at the predicted growth rate with interacting LGA, JFK and EWR?

**TEB**, **FRG**, **HPN**, **LGA**, **JFK** and **EWR** share substantial flights through the same departure/arrival fixes.

70/ 70/ 70/ 70/ G



### **New York Area Terminal Operations**

- In 2004, there are 2.3 million operations at 10 New York terminal area airports
- In 2015, there could be 2.8 million operations at the same airports (21% increase)
  - With VLJ operations, the total number of operations could go as high as 3.1 million in 2015 (34% increase)





# **TEB Airport Runway Capacity Envelopes**



(Analysis with Airport Capacity Model)

# **Teterboro Future Hourly Demands**

#### <Without NGATS>



# **Teterboro Capacity Analysis**



Impact of VLJ Flights in the Airport Terminal Area (Environmental)

# Teterboro Airport (Noise Analysis using INM)

65 DNL Noise Contours

Teterboro Airport

- 180 VLJ operations per year in 2014
- 6-7% increase in the noise contour area when VLL operati Q3: Will the noise restrict added base ol
   VLJ operations?
   Without VLJ Operations

SATS Program Study sponsored by S. A. Cooke (NASA)

Operations
## **Emission (CO) Analysis using EDMS 4.2**



# Q4: Will the emission restrict VLJ operations?







## Questions?

Supplements (TSAM)







## Airline Flights and Legacy GA



# **NAS Daily Flights**

## Baseline NAS: 2004 ETMS Projections:

2014 - NAS Flights + VLJ

2025 - NAS Flights + VLJ



# Supplements (NGATS Scenarios)

# Scenarios Modeled (Same as the Gulf of Mexico Study)

Scenario	Description			
2005	Airline Fare Scale Factor = 0.800			
Case 1	Current airline network structure			
	Auto cost (\$0.37 / veh-mile)			
	No VLJ			
2015 Case 2a No NGATS	Existing commercial airport set (443 nationwide) Airline Fare Scale Factor = 0.720 VLJ Auto cost = \$0.37 vehicle-mile Processing times at airports remain the same			
2025 Case 3a No NGATS	Existing commercial airport set (443 nationwide) Airline Fare Scale Factor = 0.650 VLJ Auto cost = \$0.37 vehicle-mile Processing times at airports remain the same			

## Scenarios Explored (NGATS Solutions with VLJ)

Scenario	Description			
	Existing commercial airport set (443 nationwide)			
2015	Airline Fare Scale Factor = 0.720			
Case 4	VLJ on-demand services at \$1.75 / pass-mile			
NGATS	Auto cost = \$0.37 vehicle-mile			
	Processing Times Scaling Factor = 0.75			
	Existing commercial airport set (443 nationwide)			
2025	Airline Fare Scale Factor = 0.650			
Case 6a	VLJ on-demand services at \$1.75 / pass-mile			
NGATS	Auto cost = \$0.37 vehicle-mile			
	Processing Times Scaling Factor = 0.50			
	Airline Travel Times Scaling Factor = 0.95			





## Another GA Airport Growth Consideration Constrained Analysis (Noise Impact)



SATS Program Study sponsored by S. A. Cooke (NASA)

Supplements (VLJ)



## **VLJ fares by Region**

• From MCATS Study

# VLJ Aircraft Fleet Size Projections (with Production Capacity Constraints)

- FAA 2005 Forecast
- Honeywell Forecast

Embraer Forecast

Assumes a fixed demographic and socioeconomic (WP 2004)

## Interpretation

In 2014 there could be 4,200- 5,000 VLJ aircraft flying in the NAS



# Summary of VLJ Forecast Results (TSAM)

## Table A.1 Flight Operations.

Year	Daily VLJ	~ Fleet	Daily Hours	Revenue
	Flights	Required	Flown by Fleet	Hours Flown
		(aircraft) *	(hours)	
2009	7,600*	1,720	6,713	5,594
2012	17,836	4,220	16,638	13,865
2014	18,576	4,540	17,342	14,452
2025	25,800	6,207	24,428	20,357
2047	59,744	14,500	58.400	48,300

\* Refined numbers from Figure 3 after simulation of all VLJ flights.

#### Notes:

1) Results for year 2047 require large extrapolations of demographic model

2) High production capacity scenario

3) VLJ = \$1.75 per passenger-mile, optimistic airline fares, auto = 37 cents/vehmile

## 2014 VLJ Air-Taxi NAS Impacts



## **VLJ Fleet Size vs. Cost for Service**



## APO View of the VLJ World (March 2005)

- FAA APO assumes microjets (or VLJs) will be used as standard corporate jets (300-342 hours per year) based on historical trends
  - 4,000 microjets in 2016
  - Low use rate (< ~ 400 hours per vehicle)</li>
- This results in small number of total hours flown since VLJs are assumed to be used in traditional low use roles

# **Comparison with Virginia Tech Projections**

- We have projected that 70-75% of the fleet will go to ondemand services (today Eclipse Aviation claims 67% of the orders are for air taxi services)
  - 4,800 to 5,400 VLJs in 2016
  - High use rates (800-1,200 hours per year)
  - On-demand air taxi services
  - Fractional ownership

## • Conclusion:

- APO forecast has substantially fewer hours flown per year for the fleet
- For NGATS planning we recommend a more "optimistic" view of VLJ demand to be ready for a VLJ wave if it happens

## Supplements (Non-towered Airport)

## Impact of VLJ Operations at Non-Towered Airports (2025 scenario)

Fresno-Chandler (FCH) Provo Municipal (PVU) Palm Beach Co. (LNA) Boulder City Muni. (61B) Carson City (CXP) Leesburg Executive (JYO) Vandenberg (VDF) Denton Municipal (DTO) Knoxville Downtown (DKX) Lee Gilmer Memorial (GVL) Madera Municipal (MAE) Tipton - Maryland (FME) Herlong - Jacksonville (HEG) Jean - Las Vegas (OL7) Millard-Omaha (MLE) Schaumburg Regional (O6C) Cincinnati-Blue Ash (ISZ) Oakland/Troy (7D2) Montgomery County (GAI)

Airport



## **Non-towered Airport Capacity Gains**

- The SATS Program successfully demonstrated capacity improvements at airports with no control towers
- Use of Airport Management Module (AMM)
- High-Volume Operations (HVO)

## **Future Airport Procedures (SATS Program)**

- Example of technology implications for non-towered airports
- High-Volume-Operations (HVO) concept (NASA Langley)



## Technology can Help but to what Extend?

• Conduct RNP 0.3 approaches to two distinct airports using PRM-aided ILS simultaneous spacing criteria



Picture: Leigh-Fisher and Associates, 2003

## Supplements (Future Airline Schedules)

## **Methodology to Create Future Airline Schedules**

- TSAM provides airline demand estimates for 443 domestic airports
- Swales Aerospace has developed a Fratar-based module to predict the future flight schedules (from current schedules) produced by TSAM
- Airplanes are assumed to have an average 70% load factor

## **Direct Flights**

- As demand increases between city pairs in the future, when demand justifies it, direct flights are introduced where non existed previously
- We model this by introducing 2 direct flights (each way) per day when passenger demand exceeds 25k trips per year
- Add 1 morning and 1 evening direct flight each way
- Remove shortest connecting route flights from future schedule (only flights of 2 legs considered)
- 2 direct flights replace 4 connecting flights

## **Methodology to Create Future Airline Schedules**

# **Adding Frequency and Larger Aircraft**

- Increased passenger demand between airports can be met with a combination of increased flight frequency and larger aircraft
- Research by Airbus<sup>1</sup>(*next slide*) indicates that airlines will satisfy increased demand by adding the following service (flights refer to *all* airlines combined):
  - Total round trip flights <= 6 Increase frequency of flights between airports
  - Total round trip flights > 60 Increase capacity (size) of aircraft
  - Total round trip flights in between: Use a combination of increased frequency and increased capacity.

1) http://www.airbus.com/pdf/media/GMF2004\_demand\_passenger.pdf

## **Airbus Global Market Forecast Method**

## The GMF assumes liberal frequency development

Total daily flights (all airlines combined)



Supplements (GA)

# Legacy GA Flights\*

#### • Model:

- Uses baseline values for projected active aircraft and itinerant operations derived from TAF & FAA Airspace Forecasts FY 2004-2016.
- Includes airports reporting 10 or more itinerant GA operations (per year) in the 2004.
- Projects a flight "schedule" between 5243 public and private airports using Frata model.

#### Results:

- About 65,000 itinerant GA flight per day (average) in 2005, and About 76,000 per day in 2025 (17% increase).
  - Growth mostly due to business jets which will be IFR flights (275% increase)
- Flight sets:
  - Single-engine VFR, Single-engine IFR,
  - Multi-engine VFR, Multi-engine piston IFR, Multi-engine turbo
  - IFR, Jets (assumed to always be IFR)

## \*By Swales Aerospace.


Supplements (International)

# **Future Airline Travelers: International**



## **Future Airline Travelers: International (2015)**



# TSAM comparison with Domestic Enplanement Data

### 2004

**TSAM:** Business trips 86.7M

Personal trips: 154.0M

Total Commercial Airline Trips: 240.7M

#### How does this relate to enplanements?

Assumption: ~36% of trips have connection

Each person trip has 2 trips - Depart and Return

**TSAM Commercial Enplanements: 654.7M** 

ATA/FAA Reported Enplanements: 635.5M (3% difference)