Modeling Demand Uncertainties During Ground Delay Programs

Narender Bhogadi M.S. in Systems Engineering

University of Maryland

Ground Delay Programs (GDPs)

- \rightarrow To balance arrival demand and capacity at the afflicted airport
- \rightarrow Transfer costly airborne delay to less expensive ground delay



- → Input parameters Airport Capacity and Arrival Demand
- → Capacity and demand , both stochastic in nature

Demand Uncertainties

- ✤ Three main sources of demand uncertainties
 - Flight Drifts,
 - Flight Cancellations, and
 - Pop-up Flights
- → Combined Effects
 - Under-Utilization of the airport resources (slots)
 - Unpredictable arrival sequence
 - Increased airborne holding

Flight Cancellations

- → Flight Cancellations without notices in advance, cause
 "holes" in the arrival sequence
- → Timed Out (TO) Cancellations almost always result in slots being unused



Pop-up Flights

→ Any flight that arrived during a GDP and that first appeared in the ADL after the GDP model time



→ Pop-ups add to the arrival demand and displace the actual arrival sequence

Flight Drifts

→ Flight Drifts are results of :

- CTD non-compliance , where ARTD > or < CTD
- CTA non-compliance , where AETE > or < OETE



→ Net Drift = Ground Drift + Enroute Drift

ARTA - Actual Runway Time of ArrivalARTD - Actual Runway Time of DepartureAETE - Actual Enroute Time

- •CTA Control Time of Arrival
- •CTD Control Time of Departure
- •OETE Original Estimated Enroute Time

Modeling Demand Uncertainties

- ✤ Stochastic Mixed Integer Optimization (SMIO) Model
 - Incorporates only flight cancellations and pop-ups
 - Generates <u>Optimal Planned Arrival Rates (PAARs)</u> for any GDP scenario
- → Simulation Model
 - Incorporates flight cancellations, pop-ups and drifts
 - Validates the SMIO model by generating <u>Pareto Optimal</u> <u>PAARs</u> for a large set of scenarios

Details on SMIO Model

- → Objective Function : Minimize the expected airborne queue
- → Variables : $X_{paar}(k,t) = 1$ if PAAR = k in time period t; else 0

Y(k,j,t) : probability that at the end of time period "t" an airborne queue of size "j" exists and PAAR = k in time period "t"

- → Main Input Parameters : AAR(t), P_{cnx} , P_{pop} , and Utilization Parameter " ϵ "
- → Main Constraints
 - Markovian Constraint : $\sum_{k} Y(k,j,t) = \sum_{i} q(k,i,j,t) Y(k,i,t-1)$, where $q(k,i,j,t) = Pr\{i + number of arrivals in t - AAR(t) = j / PAAR = k\}$
 - Utilization Constraint : Expected Number of unutilized slots $\leq e$
- → Outputs : Optimal PAARs and Optimal Expected Queue Size

Formulation of SMIO Model

Minimize $\Sigma_t \Sigma_k \Sigma_j \quad j \ Y(k,j,t)$

Subject to:

 $\Sigma_k X_{\text{paar}}(k,t) = 1$ $\forall t = 1, 2,...P$ (1)

$$\Sigma_j Y(k,j,t) \leq X_{\text{paar}}(k,t) \quad \forall j = 1,2,...MaxQ \dots (2)$$

 $\Sigma_{k^*} Y(k^*,j,t) \leq \Sigma_k \Sigma_i q(k,i,j,t) Y(k,i,t-1) \qquad \forall j, \forall t \qquad \dots \dots (3)$

 $\Sigma_{t} \Sigma_{k} \Sigma_{i} Q_{e}(k,i,t) Y(k,i,t-1) \leq \epsilon$ (4)

 $X_{paar}(k,t) \in \{0,1\}$

Details on Simulation Model

- → Single-Server Queuing Model
- ✤ Input Distributions
 - Geometric distribution for flight cancellations
 - Empirical distribution for drifts
 - Exponential distribution for pop-ups
- → Performance Measures
 - Ground delay
 - Airborne delay
 - Utilization

Empirical Analysis of Drifts



→ Ground Drift = ARTD - CTD

→ Mean is shifted to the right - more forward drifts

Empirical Analysis of Drifts (contd..)



- → Enroute Drift = AETE OETE
- → Actual Enroute Time less than expected
- ✤ Enroute Drifts confined to a small window

Empirical Analysis of Cancellations



 → Cancellations follow a geometric distribution during GDP

Empirical Analysis of Pop-up Flights

GDP Avg Popup per Hour SFO



Results for SMIO Model

→ Capacity Scenario : (30,30,30,30,30,30,30) on 05/01/98 SFO



Results for SMIO Model (contd.)



Results for Simulation Model

- → Capacity Scenario : (30,30,30,30,30,30)
- → Tested the scenarios for all PAARs in the interval [28 34]
- → Used Pareto Optimality with Airborne Delay and Utilization as Objective functions

Pareto Optimality

A state A (a set of parameters) is said to be Pareto optimal, if there is no other state B dominating the state with respect to a set of objective functions.

A state A dominates a state B, if A is better than B in at least one objective function and not worse with respect to all other objective functions.

Results for Simulation Model(contd.)



Summary

- ➔ Significant stochasticity in airport arrival demand
- → Demand Uncertainties lead to under-utilization, and excessive airborne holding
- → Two models SMIO and Simulation Model are developed
- → Models recommend policy changes in setting of PAARs - substituting staggered patterns for flat patterns